

University of Dayton
eCommons

[ECAS Minutes](#)

[Academic Senate](#)

2-13-2012

2012-02-13 Minutes of the Executive Committee of the Academic Senate

University of Dayton. Academic Senate. Executive Committee

Follow this and additional works at: https://ecommons.udayton.edu/ecas_mins

Recommended Citation

University of Dayton. Academic Senate. Executive Committee, "2012-02-13 Minutes of the Executive Committee of the Academic Senate" (2012). *ECAS Minutes*. 252.
https://ecommons.udayton.edu/ecas_mins/252

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Academic Senate at eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in ECAS Minutes by an authorized administrator of eCommons. For more information, please contact frice1@udayton.edu, mschlangen1@udayton.edu.

Approved
Minutes of the Executive Committee of the Academic Senate
February 13, 2012
St. Mary's Hall Room 113B

Present: Paul Benson, Corinne Daprano, Jesse Grewal, Jonathan Hess, Emily Hicks, Antonio Mari, Leno Pedrotti, Joseph Saliba, Andrea Seielstad, Rebecca Wells

Absent: George Doyle, Carolyn Phelps

Guest: Jim Farrelly

Opening Meditation: Corinne Daprano opened the meeting with a meditation

Minutes: The minutes of the February 6, 2012 ECAS meeting were approved.

Announcements: The next meeting of ECAS is February 20, 2012 from 1:30-3:00 PM in SM 113B.

J. Hess announced that for the next Senate meeting, documents will be available to everyone by adding themselves to the Porches group. He also added that he and C. Daprano are scheduled to meet with Kathy Webb, Andrea Wade, and Rachel Bilokonsky on Feb. 23 to talk about archiving Senate documents.

Old business

Approval of agenda for February 24 Academic Senate meeting. ECAS discussed the following items for inclusion on the February 24 ASenate agenda:

1. Committee Reports
 - a. Consultation conversation – J. Hess will include update in the ECAS report
2. Documents ready for discussion and voting
 - a. Student Evaluation of Teaching (SET)
 - b. Academic honor code
 - c. Program Proposal Process (PPP)

J. Hess indicated that feedback on the SET proposal should be submitted to L. Hartley and S. Hughes prior to February 24. ECAS agreed that the proposal will be added to the agenda for discussion and a possible vote. Depending on the received feedback and ASenate discussion a vote on the proposal may need to be tabled for the next ASenate meeting in March.

J. Farrelly reported that the previous Dean of the Graduate School felt that the Academic Honor Code was not enforceable with graduate students. J. Farrelly would like to see a letter of support from the Graduate Leadership Council (GLC) regarding the application of the honor code to graduate students before this proposal is brought forward for a vote by the ASenate.

ECAS unanimously approved the February 24 ASenate agenda as listed above.

Procedural question regarding DPT Program. J. Hess reported that the SOEAP is proposing that the DPT program, which is currently a part of the Dept. of Health & Sport Science, become a separate department. He asked whether ASenate approval or consultation is required to establish this new department.

P. Benson suggested that the proposed change needs to be reviewed by ECAS, the ASenate, and the Board of Trustees for approval because of resource allocation issues. J. Saliba suggested that ECAS

examine the issue holistically because the situation may occur again and units need guidance as to the approval process for creating new departments. J. Farrelly suggested that even department name changes must be brought before the ASenate. In this case, a program is shifting to become a department and even though this is an internal change within SOEAP a proposal must be submitted to the ASenate under legislative authority. P. Benson agreed stating that not having the ASenate review this change could set a risky precedent. ECAS members also agreed and J. Hess stated that he will ask Dean Kelly to submit a short proposal to ECAS. J. Saliba suggested that ECAS consider creating a small task force that would propose procedures for units to follow in the future.

Student Government Association (SGA). J. Grewal reported that SGA is currently reviewing the SGA constitution and the responsibilities of SGA Academic Senators. The debate concerns whether the academic senators should be voting members of SGA and the ASenate or only of the ASenate. As SGA representatives to the ASenate only, their workload would decrease since they would only have to serve on ASenate committees instead of both ASenate and SGA committees. ECAS expressed concern about ongoing communication between the ASenate and SGA if changes were enacted that allowed SGA representatives to only serve on the ASenate.

Charge for UNRC. J. Hess reviewed the attached charge to the UNRC and confirmed that E. Hicks would call the first meeting of the UNRC.

Judicial Review Committee (JRC) proposal. J. Hess reviewed ECAS' discussion last week of the JRC proposal. The Office of Community Standards and Civility is proposing that instead of automatically including the Senate Vice President or designee on the JRC that only faculty members with relevant experience be considered. ECAS' discussion included: 1) allowing anyone with experience from the Senate to serve; 2) electing a faculty member with some experience; 3) ECAS appoints a faculty member who has Hearing Board experience; or, 4) keeping the current membership criteria.

A. Mari raised the issue of how Hearing Board faculty members are selected by the Office of Community Standards & Civility. P. Benson summarized the concern of several ECAS members regarding the membership criteria for the JRC faculty representative by stating that the criteria seems to be based solely on years of experience on the Hearing Board. J. Hess then asked if ECAS agreed that the JRC faculty representative needed to be someone with certain qualifications such as those contained in the current proposal. A. Seielstad disagreed and indicated her support of the existing ASenate procedures for selecting the JRC faculty representative. She feels there is no need for a faculty member to have specialized knowledge to serve on the JRC.

ECAS agreed to continue discussion of this issue.

New business

J. Hess announced that he sent the Outside Employment Policy proposal to the FAC and asked for comment on the document by April 2.

Undergraduate Program Proposal Process (PPP). L. Pedrotti reviewed the PPP document and proposed changes to Senate DOC 94-10. These changes included: 1) reordering the approval process; and, 2) paring down the Program Proposal Process currently detailed in Appendix A. R. Wells suggested that the term "costs" be replaced in item #8 of the Program Proposal Process with "financial investments."

The meeting was adjourned at 3:05 PM.

Respectfully submitted by Corinne Daprano

Standing committee work assignments. Below is an updated list of assigned standing committee tasks:

Task	N/C	Prev	To	Work due	Due
Student honor code	N		SAPC	Review for issues	??
*Consultation issue	C	ECAS	ECAS	Work to resolve issues	??
GLC docs (3)	N		APC	Review	??
PDP proposal	C	APC	APC	Review Appendix A	later
*Voting representation	N		Ad hoc	Report and proposal	Feb. 29
Faculty workload	N		FAC	Report and proposal	Mar. 2
Tasks not yet assigned	N/C	Prev	To	Work due	Due
Committee membership	C	UNRC	UNRC	Complete the list	??
Tasks ongoing	N/C	Prev	To	Work due	
Oversight of CAP dev	N		APC	Hear monthly reports	
Tasks completed by cmte	N/C	Prev	To	Work due	Due
CAPC voting rights	N		APC	Offer recommendation	Aug. 30
Academic misconduct	C	ECAS	S/APC	Develop form	Sept. 27
Intellectual property rights	C	FAC	FAC	Proposal	Nov. 8
Titles/emeritus	C	FAC	FAC	Proposal	Nov. 8
Launch voting rights cmte	N		ECAS	Proposal	Feb. 29
PA proposal	N		APC	Review	Nov.
*Faculty evaluation (SET)	C	FAC	ECAS	Purpose of eval (revision)	
Academic misconduct	C	APC	S/APC	Develop instructions	
*UNRC policy doc	C	UNRC	ECAS	Review final document	

Charge to University Nominating and Recruiting Committee

February 6, 2012

Committee composition

Members: Emily Hicks (3 year term, 2012-2015); Charlie Russo (3 year term, 2012 - 2015); Katy Marre (2 year term, 2012-2014); Monish Chatterjee (1 year term, 2012-2013); Student member (1 year term, 2012-2013).

This committee is a subcommittee of the Executive Committee of the Academic Senate (ECAS), and it reports to that committee.

Background

The UNRC is responsible for identifying faculty who are eligible and interested in serving on university-wide committees, nominating faculty to fill open faculty-designated positions in the event of a vacancy, and managing the collection of self-nominations.

Tasks for Spring 2012

1. Review the bylaws and additional notes provided by ECAS.
2. Meet initially to:
 - a. Select a chair for the remainder of the 2011-2012 academic year.
 - b. Form a plan for completing the list committees, described in point 1 of the additional notes
 - ECAS representative, Emily Hicks, will call the initial meeting.
3. Complete the list of committees by Friday, April 13, 2012.
4. Seek volunteers and recruit candidates for any committees as requested by ECAS. (At this point, there are no committees to seek nominees for.)